



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*Bel*

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/227,350	01/08/99	NISHIUMI	S 723-680

LM01/0803

JOHN R LASTOVA  
NIXON & VANDERHYE  
1100 NORTH GLEBE ROAD  
8TH FLOOR  
ARLINGTON VA 22201-4714

EXAMINER

LEWIS, D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2778

*16*

DATE MAILED: 08/03/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/227,350

Applicant(s)  
Nishiumi et al.

Examiner  
David L Lewis

Group Art Unit  
2778



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David L Lewis (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Joseph Presta (35,329) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Aug 1, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 11, 19, and 27

Identification of prior art discussed:

Garrido (5451053)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

It was agreed that the rejection based on Garrido was lacking the support for a two way communication between the joystick controller and game console, and therefore the rejection is withdrawn. The claims will be reconsidered in light of a new prior art search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.